

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 19 2007

Docket No.: NY-LUD-5253-USS-DIV
(PATENT)

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office, facsimile no. (571) 273-8300, on the date shown below.

Dated: 11/19/07

Signature: Fani Mallikouzakis
(Fani Mallikouzakis)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:
Thierry Boon-Falleur et al.

Application No.: 08/819,669

Confirmation No.: 1995

Filed: March 17, 1997

Art Unit: 1644

For: TUMOR REJECTION, ANTIGEN
PRECURSORS, TUMOR REJECTION
ANTIGEN S AND USES THEREOF

Examiner: P. Gabel

AMENDMENT

MS Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This is responsive to the action which issued in this case on October 23, 2007.

That action was an Advisory Action and is believed to be improper as set forth in concurrently filed papers.

It appears that the sole issue is a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(f). Applicants traverse.

50038712.1

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 16 2007

Docket No.: NY-LUD 5253-US5-DIV
(PATENT)

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office, facsimile no. (571) 273-8300, AND Examiner Philip Gambel at facsimile no. (571)273-0844 AND Supervisory Examiner Christina Chan at facsimile no. (571) 273-0841 AND Director John Leguayader at facsimile no. (571)-273-8300, on the date shown below.

Dated: November 16, 2007

Signature:


(Fani Malikouzakis)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

OK P
ENCL
11/16/07
P
In re Patent Application of:
Thierry Boon-Falleur et al.

Application No.: 08/819,669

Confirmation No.: 1995

Filed: March 17, 1997

Art Unit: 1644

For: TUMOR REJECTION, ANTIGEN
PRECURSORS, TUMOR REJECTION
ANTIGEN S AND USES THEREOF

Examiner: P. Gambel

**REQUEST THAT ADVISORY ACTION BE VACATED AND AN OFFICE
ACTION BE SENT**

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

The Communication of October 23, 2007, was an Advisory Action. It is believed that this is improper, and an Office Action should have issued.

The prior action in the case was a non-final rejection. Applicants filed a Notice of Appeal, as a matter of right, because the USPTO delayed in resolving the correction of inventorship issue.

50038666.1